

## Appendix: Age-adjusted Rates



Table 1. General Health Status: Percentage of Persons Who Were in Fair or Poor Health by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah, 2001.

Survey Estimates of Persons Who Were in Fair/Poor Health Population Size Percentage Distribution by Percentage of Percentage Number of Number of Demographic Persons<sup>2</sup> Persons<sup>3,4</sup> Demographic Subgroup Distribution Persons<sup>1</sup> Subgroup 2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates 100.0% 2,295,967 9.1% + 0.5% 207,900 100.0% Local Health District<sup>5</sup>, Crude Rates Bear River 6.0% 138.600 12.000 5.8% 8.6% + 1.5% 9.2% + 1.8% Central 2.9% 67.207 6,200 3.0% Davis 10.7% 8.5% ± 1.6% 244,844 20,700 10.0% Salt Lake 9.4% + 1.0% 40.0% 918,279 86,600 41.7% 11.7% <u>+</u> 2.1% 2.3% Southeastern 52,817 6,200 3.0% Southwest 6.4% 147,370 11.2% + 1.9% 16,500 7.9% Summit 1.4% 31,279 6.3% <u>+</u> 1.6% 2,000 1.0% Tooele 1.9% 44.430 8.6% <u>+</u> 1.6% 3.800 1.8% 41,640 2.4% TriCounty 1.8% 11.9% <u>+</u> 1.8% 4,900 16.8% 385,690 7.2% <u>+</u> 1.2% 13.3% **Utah County** 27,700 7.7% <u>+</u> 1.7% Wasatch 0.7% 0.6% 15,947 1,200 Weber-Morgan 9.1% 9.6% 207,864 9.6% <u>+</u> 1.9% 19,900 Total, All Utahns, Crude Rates 100.0% 2,295,967 9.1% + 0.5%207,900 100.0% 2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates 100.0% 2,295,967 11.0% <u>+</u> 0.6% Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates Bear River 6.0% 138,600 11.0% + 1.8% 10.4% <u>+</u> 1.8% Central 2.9% 67,207 Davis 10.8% + 2.0% 10.7% 244.844 Salt Lake 40.0% 918,279 11.3% + 1.1%Southeastern 2.3% 52,817 12.6% + 2.1% Southwest 6.4% 147,370 11.4% <u>+</u> 1.8% Summit 1.4% 31,279 7.4% <u>+</u> 1.8% 1.9% Tooele 44,430 10.5% + 1.8% 1.8% 41,640 TriCounty 13.4% <u>+</u> 1.9% 16.8% **Utah County** 385,690 10.8% + 1.6% Wasatch 0.7% 15,947 9.4% <u>+</u> 1.8% Weber-Morgan 9.1% 207,864 10.5% + 1.9% Total, All Utahns, Age-adjusted 11.0% ± 0.6% 100.0% 2,295,967

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 2. General Physical Functional Status: Percentage of Adults Who Accomplished Less as a Result of Their Physical Health by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah Residents Age 18 and Over, 2001

Survey Estimates of Persons Who Accomplished Population Size Less Percentage Distribution by Percentage Number of Percentage of Number of Demographic Persons<sup>2</sup> Persons<sup>3,4</sup> Distribution Persons<sup>1</sup> Demographic Subgroup Subgroup 2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates 23.1% + 1.3% 361,900 100.0% 100.0% 1,565,550 Local Health District<sup>5</sup>, Crude Rates 5.3% Bear River 6.0% 20.3% ± 3.4% 93,555 19,000 Central 2.8% 44,411 23.0% ± 4.1% 10,200 2.8% Davis 10.3% 160,801 23.4% + 4.2% 37,700 10.4% 22.8% + 2.3% 146.400 Salt Lake 40.9% 640.654 40.5% Southeastern 2.3% 35,968 26.4% + 4.4% 9,500 2.6% 27.6% + 4.4% 7.8% Southwest 6.5% 101,940 28,100 16.1% + 3.5% Summit 1.4% 22,186 3,600 1.0% Tooele 1.9% 29.436 22.7% + 3.5% 6.700 1.9% 29.3% + 4.2% **TriCounty** 1.8% 27,434 8,000 2.2% 21.2% + 3.1% **Utah County** 16.3% 254,723 54,000 14.9% 2,200 Wasatch 0.7% 10,662 21.0% ± 4.1% 0.6% Weber-Morgan 9.2% 143,780 25.3% + 4.5% 36,400 10.1% Total, All Utahns, Age 18+, Crude Rates 361,900 100.0% 100.0% 1,565,550 23.1% + 1.3% 2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates 100.0% 1.565.550 25.1% + 1.3% Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates Bear River 6.0% 93,555 22.5% ± 3.6% Central 2.8% 44,411 23.1% ± 4.0% 25.9% ± 4.3% Davis 10.3% 160,801 Salt Lake 40.9% 640,654 25.3% ± 2.4% 26.9% ± 4.2% Southeastern 2.3% 35,968 27.0% <u>+</u> 4.3% Southwest 6.5% 101,940 18.7% + 3.9% Summit 1.4% 22,186 Tooele 1.9% 29,436 26.1% + 3.8% 29.9% + 4.2% **TriCounty** 1.8% 27,434 25.2% + 3.4% **Utah County** 16.3% 254,723 22.3% + 4.1% 0.7% 10,662 Wasatch Weber-Morgan 9.2% 25.6% + 4.4% 143,780 Total, All Utahns, Age 18+, 25.1% ± 1.3% 100.0% 1,565,550

Age-adjusted

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 3. General Mental Functional Status: Percentage of Adults Who Accomplished Less as a Result of Their Mental Health by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah Residents Age 18 and Over, 2001.

Survey Estimates of Persons Who Population Size Accomplished Less Percentage Distribution by Percentage Number of Percentage of Number of Demographic Persons<sup>3,4</sup> Persons<sup>1</sup> Persons<sup>2</sup> Demographic Subgroup Distribution Subgroup 2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates 100.0% 1,565,550 14.6% + 1.1% 228,600 100.0% Local Health District<sup>5</sup>, Crude Rates Bear River 6.0% 93,555 13.8% ± 3.0% 12,900 5.6% Central 2.8% 44,411 14.5% ± 3.4% 6,500 2.8% Davis 10.3% 160,801 14.9% ± 3.6% 24,000 10.5% Salt Lake 40.9% 640,654 14.9% <u>+</u> 1.9% 95,700 41.9% Southeastern 2.3% 35,968 13.2% <u>+</u> 3.2% 4,700 2.1% 15.6% + 3.6% 101,940 7.0% Southwest 6.5% 15,900 Summit 1.4% 22,186 7.2% <u>+</u> 2.3% 1,600 0.7% Tooele 1.9% 29,436 15.3% <u>+</u> 3.0% 4,500 2.0% 16.6% <u>+</u> 3.4% TriCounty 1.8% 27,434 4,500 2.0% **Utah County** 16.3% 254,723 13.4% <u>+</u> 2.8% 15.0% 34,200 11.8% <u>+</u> 3.1% Wasatch 0.7% 10,662 1,300 0.6% Weber-Morgan 9.2% 143,780 15.8% + 3.6% 22,700 9.9% Total, All Utahns, Age 18+, Crude Rates 14.6% <u>+</u> 1.1% 100.0% 1,565,550 228,600 100.0% 2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates 100.0% 1,565,550 15.0% + 1.1% Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates Bear River 6.0% 93,555 14.5% <u>+</u> 3.2% Central 2.8% 44,411 14.7% + 3.4% 14.8% ± 3.5% Davis 10.3% 160,801 15.5% <u>+</u> 1.9% Salt Lake 40.9% 640,654 13.4% ± 3.2% Southeastern 2.3% 35,968 Southwest 6.5% 101,940 15.7% + 3.6% 8.0% <u>+</u> 2.7% Summit 1.4% 22,186 Tooele 1.9% 29,436 16.0% ± 3.2% TriCounty 16.4% + 3.4% 1.8% 27,434 13.2% <u>+</u> 2.8% 16.3% **Utah County** 254,723 11.8% + 3.1% Wasatch 0.7% 10,662 Weber-Morgan 9.2% 143,780 16.0% ± 3.6% Total, All Utahns, Age 18+, 100.0% 1,565,550 15.0% ± 1.1% Age-adjusted

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 4a. Health Insurance: Percentage of Persons
With No Health Insurance Coverage
by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah, 2001

Survey Estimates of Persons Without Health Population Size Insurance Percentage Distribution by Percentage Number of Percentage of Number of Demographic Persons<sup>2</sup> Persons<sup>3,4</sup> Distribution Persons<sup>1</sup> Demographic Subgroup Subgroup 2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates 100.0% 8.7% <u>+</u> 0.8% 199,100 100.0% 2,295,967 Local Health District<sup>5</sup>, Crude Rates 6.4% <u>+</u> 1.9% Bear River 6.0% 138,600 8,900 4.5% Central 2.9% 12.0% ± 3.2% 8,000 4.0% 67,207 Davis 10.7% 244,844 3.9% ± 1.9% 9,600 4.8% Salt Lake 40.0% 9.3% <u>+</u> 1.5% 85,300 42.9% 918,279 Southeastern 2.3% 13.5% ± 2.7% 7,100 3.6% 52,817 Southwest 6.4% 147,370 12.5% <u>+</u> 3.0% 18,500 9.3% Summit 1.4% 31,279 7.5% + 2.4%2,300 1.2% Tooele 1.9% 44.430 8.0% + 2.3% 3,600 1.8% 13.7% + 2.7% TriCounty 1.8% 41.640 5,700 2.9% **Utah County** 7.2% + 1.8%14.0% 16.8% 385,690 27,800 Wasatch 0.7% 8.2% + 2.4% 1,300 0.7% 15,947 Weber-Morgan 9.1% 10.0% ± 2.9% 20,800 10.5% 207,864 Total, All Utahns, Crude Rates 100.0% 100.0% 2,295,967 8.7% <u>+</u> 0.8% 199,100 2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates 100.0% 2,295,967 8.2% ± 0.7% Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates Bear River 6.0% 138.600 6.0% + 1.8%Central 2.9% 67,207 12.1% + 3.0% Davis 10.7% 244,844 3.6% + 1.6% 8.7% + 1.4% Salt Lake 40.0% 918,279 14.2% ± 2.7% Southeastern 2.3% 52,817 Southwest 6.4% 147.370 12.9% + 3.0% Summit 1.4% 31,279 7.2% + 2.2%Tooele 1.9% 44,430 7.4% + 2.0%**TriCounty** 1.8% 41,640 14.1% + 2.7% **Utah County** 16.8% 385,690 6.7% + 1.7%Wasatch 0.7% 15,947 7.6% + 2.1%Weber-Morgan 9.1% 207,864 9.7% + 2.7%

100.0%

2,295,967

8.2% <u>+</u> 0.7%

Total, All Utahns, Age-adjusted

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 5. Adequacy of Health Insurance: Percentage of Insured Persons Who Were Unable to Get Needed Medical, Dental, or Mental Health Care\* in the Previous 12 Months by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Insured Utah Residents, 2001

Survey Estimates of Persons With an Access Population Size Problem Percentage Distribution by Percentage Number of Percentage of Number of Demographic Persons<sup>2</sup> Persons<sup>3,4</sup> Persons<sup>1</sup> Demographic Subgroup Distribution Subgroup 2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates 11.6% + 0.8% 242,200 100.0% 100.0% 2,096,902 Local Health District<sup>5</sup>, Crude Rates Bear River 6.3% 6.3% 132,341 11.5% <u>+</u> 2.2% 15,200 Central 16.1% <u>+</u> 2.7% 3.9% 2.8% 59,039 9,500 Davis 11.3% 237,288 9.7% <u>+</u> 2.1% 23,100 9.5% Salt Lake 39.6% 10.5% <u>+</u> 1.3% 87,100 36.0% 831,338 Southeastern 2.3% 18.9% <u>+</u> 3.6% 9,100 3.8% 47,910 14.4% <u>+</u> 2.7% Southwest 6.1% 128,530 18,500 7.6% Summit 1.3% 28,134 12.1% + 2.7% 3.400 1.4% Tooele 1.9% 39.044 14.4% + 2.2% 5.600 2.3% TriCounty 1.7% 36.187 15.4% + 2.5% 5.600 2.3% **Utah County** 16.8% 353.289 12.3% + 2.0% 43,500 18.0% 0.7% Wasatch 0.7% 14,061 13.1% + 2.9% 1,800 8.2% Weber-Morgan 9.0% 189,739 10.5% <u>+</u> 2.5% 19,800 Total, All Insured Utahns, Crude Rates 100.0% 2,096,902 11.6% <u>+</u> 0.8% 242,200 100.0% 2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates 100.0% 2,096,902 12.2% ± 0.8% Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates Bear River 6.3% 132.341 11.9% ± 2.2% Central 2.8% 59,039 17.8% + 2.9% Davis 11.3% 237,288 9.9% + 2.1% Salt Lake 39.6% 831,338 10.9% + 1.4% Southeastern 2.3% 47,910 19.4% + 3.5% Southwest 6.1% 128,530 15.4% + 2.8% Summit 1.3% 28,134 11.9% + 2.6% Tooele 1.9% 39,044 15.5% + 2.4% TriCounty 1.7% 36,187 16.8% + 2.6% **Utah County** 16.8% 353,289 12.6% + 2.0% Wasatch 0.7% 14,061 13.8% + 2.9% Weber-Morgan 9.0% 189,739 11.2% + 2.5% Total, All Insured Utahns, Age-adjusted 100.0% 2,096,902 12.2% <u>+</u> 0.8%

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

<sup>\*</sup> An individual was defined as unable to get care if they indicated that they delayed or were unable to obtain care because (1) their insurance would not cover the service, (2) the service was not available in their area, or (3) they could not afford to pay for the service.

Table 6. Health Care Utilization: Average Number of Medical Visits in the Previous 12 Months by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah, 2001

Survey Estimates of Average Number of Medical Visits in Previous 12 Months Population Size Total Percentage Average Number Number of Distribution by of Medical Visits, Percentage Number of Medical Demographic Last 12 Months<sup>2</sup> Visits<sup>3,4</sup> Distribution Persons<sup>1</sup> Demographic Subgroup Subgroup 2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates 100.0% 3.7 <u>+</u> 0.1 100.0% 2,295,967 8,406,900 Local Health District<sup>5</sup>, Crude Rates Bear River 6.0% 3.6 <u>+</u> 0.3 498,400 5.9% 138,600 Central 3.6 <u>+</u> 0.4 241,000 2.9% 2.9% 67,207 Davis 10.7% 244,844 3.7 <u>+</u> 0.4 897,700 10.7% Salt Lake 40.0% 3.6 <u>+</u> 0.2 918,279 3,288,500 39.1% Southeastern 2.3% 3.5 <u>+</u> 0.4 52,817 184,300 2.2% Southwest 6.4% 147,370 3.5 <u>+</u> 0.4 520,500 6.2% Summit 1.4% 31,279 3.6 + 0.4112,900 1.3% Tooele 1.9% 44.430 3.9 + 0.3172,700 2.1% 3.7 + 0.4TriCounty 1.8% 41,640 155.700 1.9% **Utah County** 16.8% 3.6 + 0.316.6% 385,690 1,399,500 Wasatch 0.7% 3.7 + 0.40.7% 15,947 58,800 Weber-Morgan 9.1% 207,864 4.2 <u>+</u> 0.5 10.4% 875,600 Total, All Utahns, Crude Rates 3.7 <u>+</u> 0.1 8,406,900 100.0% 100.0% 2,295,967 2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates 100.0% 2,295,967  $3.8 \pm 0.1$ Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates Bear River 6.0% 138.600 3.7 + 0.3Central 2.9% 67.207 3.7 + 0.4Davis 10.7% 244,844 3.9 + 0.4Salt Lake 40.0% 918,279  $3.8 \pm 0.2$ 3.5 + 0.4Southeastern 2.3% 52,817 Southwest 6.4% 147.370 3.6 + 0.4Summit 1.4% 31,279  $3.8 \pm 0.4$ Tooele 1.9% 44,430 4.1 <u>+</u> 0.4 **TriCounty** 1.8% 41,640 3.8 + 0.4**Utah County** 16.8% 385,690 3.8 + 0.3Wasatch 0.7% 15,947 3.8 + 0.4Weber-Morgan 9.1% 207,864 4.4 <u>+</u> 0.6 Total, All Utahns, Age-adjusted 100.0% 2,295,967  $3.8 \pm 0.1$ 

Note: Does not include overnight hospital stays.

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 7. Preventive Medical Visit: Percentage of Persons Who Received a Routine Medical Check-up in the Previous 12 Months by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah, 2001

Survey Estimates of Persons With a Routine Population Size Exam Percentage Distribution by Percentage Number of Percentage of Number of Demographic Persons<sup>2</sup> Persons<sup>3,4</sup> Distribution Persons<sup>1</sup> Demographic Subgroup Subgroup 2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates 100.0% 70.7% <u>+</u> 1.1% 100.0% 2,295,967 1,623,300 Local Health District<sup>5</sup>, Crude Rates Bear River 6.0% 96,300 5.9% 138,600 69.5% ± 3.1% Central 68.7% <u>+</u> 3.5% 2.8% 2.9% 67,207 46,200 Davis 10.7% 244,844 70.7% ± 3.6% 173,200 10.7% Salt Lake 40.0% 71.8% ± 1.8% 659,300 918,279 40.6% Southeastern 2.3% 66.7% ± 3.8% 35,200 2.2% 52,817 Southwest 6.4% 147,370 72.4% <u>+</u> 3.5% 106,700 6.6% Summit 1.4% 31,279 73.1% + 3.3% 22,900 1.4% Tooele 1.9% 44.430 73.8% + 2.8% 32.800 2.0% 71.5% + 3.1% TriCounty 1.8% 41,640 29.800 1.8% **Utah County** 16.8% 66.5% + 2.9% 385.690 256.500 15.8% Wasatch 0.7% 67.4% + 3.5% 15,947 10,800 0.7% 9.1% 207,864 73.8% ± 3.4% 9.5% Weber-Morgan 153,500 Total, All Utahns, Crude Rates 1,623,300 100.0% 2,295,967 70.7% <u>+</u> 1.1% 100.0% 2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates 100.0% 2,295,967 71.0% <u>+</u> 1.0% Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates Bear River 6.0% 138.600 70.8% + 3.0% Central 2.9% 67,207 68.6% + 3.4% Davis 10.7% 244,844 70.5% + 3.5% Salt Lake 40.0% 918,279 71.9% + 1.8% 66.2% + 3.7% Southeastern 2.3% 52,817 Southwest 6.4% 147,370 71.7% + 3.5% Summit 1.4% 31,279 73.5% + 3.4% Tooele 1.9% 44,430 73.9% + 2.7%**TriCounty** 1.8% 41,640 71.2% + 3.0% **Utah County** 16.8% 385,690 67.1% + 2.9% Wasatch 0.7% 15,947 67.5% + 3.3% Weber-Morgan 9.1% 207,864 73.8% + 3.3% Total, All Utahns, Age-adjusted 100.0% 2,295,967 71.0% <u>+</u> 1.0%

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 8. Place of Care: Percentage of Persons Who Had No Usual Place of Medical Care by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah, 2001

Survey Estimates of Persons With No Primary Care Provider Population Size Percentage Distribution by Percentage Number of Percentage of Number of Demographic Persons<sup>2</sup> Persons<sup>3,4</sup> Distribution Persons<sup>1</sup> Demographic Subgroup Subgroup 2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates 100.0% 8.8% <u>+</u> 0.7% 202,900 100.0% 2,295,967 Local Health District<sup>5</sup>, Crude Rates Bear River 6.0% 138,600 10,400 7.5% <u>+</u> 1.8% 5.1% Central 2.9% 5.3% <u>+</u> 2.0% 3,600 1.8% 67,207 Davis 10.7% 244,844 5.2% <u>+</u> 1.9% 12,600 6.2% Salt Lake 40.0% 10.6% + 1.4% 97,100 47.9% 918,279 Southeastern 2.3% 8.8% + 2.2% 4,600 2.3% 52,817 Southwest 6.4% 147,370 6.9% <u>+</u> 1.9% 10,100 5.0% 10.7% + 2.5% Summit 1.4% 31,279 3,400 1.7% 4,200 Tooele 1.9% 44.430 9.5% + 2.2% 2.1% TriCounty 1.8% 41.640 5.5% + 1.5%2.300 1.1% **Utah County** 16.8% 385,690 7.8% + 1.6%30,000 14.8% Wasatch 0.7% 15,947 7.1% + 1.9%1,100 0.5% 9.1% 11.3% <u>+</u> 2.9% Weber-Morgan 207,864 23,500 11.6% Total, All Utahns, Crude Rates 100.0% 2,295,967 8.8% <u>+</u> 0.7% 202,900 100.0% 2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates 100.0% 2,295,967 8.6% ± 0.7% Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates Bear River 6.0% 138.600 7.1% + 1.7%Central 2.9% 67,207 5.7% + 2.0% Davis 10.7% 244,844 5.4% + 1.9% 10.1% <u>+</u> 1.3% Salt Lake 40.0% 918,279 9.3% <u>+</u> 2.2% Southeastern 2.3% 52,817 Southwest 6.4% 147.370 7.3% + 1.9%Summit 1.4% 31,279 10.3% + 2.4% Tooele 1.9% 44,430 9.4% + 2.1%**TriCounty** 1.8% 41,640 5.8% + 1.5% **Utah County** 16.8% 385,690 7.5% + 1.6%Wasatch 0.7% 15,947 7.0% + 1.8%Weber-Morgan 9.1% 207,864 11.4% + 2.8% Total, All Utahns, Age-adjusted 100.0% 2,295,967 8.6% <u>+</u> 0.7%

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 9. Point of Access to Medical Care: Percentage of Persons Whose Usual Point of Access to Medical Care Was a Hospital Emergency Department or an Urgent Care Center by Local Health District, Crude and Age-Adjusted Rates, Utah, 2001.

			Survey Estimates of Persons Who Accessed			
	Populati	on Size	Care in ED	or Urgent Ca	re Center	
					Percentage	
					Distribution by	
	Percentage	Number of	Percentage of	Number of	Demographic	
Demographic Subgroup	Distribution	Persons <sup>1</sup>	Persons <sup>2</sup>	Persons <sup>3,4</sup>	Subgroup	
2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates	100.0%	2,295,967	4.1% <u>+</u> 0.5%	94,300	100.0%	
Local Health District <sup>5</sup> , Crude Rates						
Bear River	6.0%	138,600	1.6% <u>+</u> 0.9%	2,200	2.3%	
Central	2.9%	67,207	1.2% <u>+</u> 0.8%	800	0.9%	
Davis	10.7%	244,844	3.9% <u>+</u> 1.5%	9,400	10.0%	
Salt Lake	40.0%	918,279	5.0% <u>+</u> 1.0%	45,800	48.7%	
Southeastern	2.3%	52,817	2.0% <u>+</u> 1.1%	1,100	1.2%	
Southwest	6.4%	147,370	3.1% <u>+</u> <i>1.4</i> %	4,600	4.9%	
Summit	1.4%	31,279	1.9% <u>+</u> 1.0%	600	0.6%	
Tooele	1.9%	44,430	4.1% <u>+</u> 1.6%	1,800	1.9%	
TriCounty	1.8%	41,640	4.1% <u>+</u> 1.7%	1,700	1.8%	
Utah County	16.8%	385,690	2.3% <u>+</u> 1.0%	9,000	9.6%	
Wasatch	0.7%	15,947	3.3% <u>+</u> 1.7%	500	0.5%	
Weber-Morgan	9.1%	207,864	7.9% <u>+</u> 2.7%	16,500	17.6%	
Total, All Utahns, Crude Rates	100.0%	2,295,967	4.1% <u>+</u> 0.5%	94,300	100.0%	
2001 Utah Population, Age-Adjusted Rates	100.0%	2,295,967	4.1% <u>+</u> <i>0.4</i> %			
		_,,,				
Local Health District, Age-Adjusted Rates	0.00/	400.000	4.40/ 0.00/			
Bear River	6.0%	138,600	1.4% <u>+</u> 0.8%			
Central	2.9%	67,207	1.3% <u>+</u> 0.8%			
Davis	10.7%	244,844	3.7% <u>+</u> 1.2%			
Salt Lake	40.0%	918,279	5.0% <u>+</u> 0.8%			
Southeastern	2.3%	52,817	2.0% <u>+</u> 0.9%			
Southwest	6.4%	147,370	3.1% <u>+</u> 1.2%			
Summit	1.4%	31,279	2.1% <u>+</u> 0.9%			
Tooele	1.9%	44,430	3.9% <u>+</u> 1.1%			
TriCounty	1.8%	41,640	3.8% <u>+</u> 1.2%			
Utah County	16.8% 0.7%	385,690	2.5% <u>+</u> 0.9%			
Wasatch	0.7% 9.1%	15,947	3.1% <u>+</u> 1.2%			
Weber-Morgan	100.0%	207,864	7.7% <u>+</u> 1.9%			
Total, All Utahns, Age-Adjusted	100.0%	2,295,967	4.1% <u>+</u> 0.4%			

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 10. Blood Pressure Check: Percentage of Adults Who Did Not Receive a Blood Pressure Check in the Previous Year by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah Residents Age 18 and Over, 2001.

	Populati	on Size	Survey Estimates of Persons With No BP Check		
					Percentage
	Percentage	Number of	Percentage of	Number of	Distribution by Demographic
Demographic Subgroup	Distribution	Persons <sup>1</sup>	Persons <sup>2</sup>	Persons <sup>3,4</sup>	Subgroup
Demographic Gabgroup	Distribution	1 0100110	1 0130113	1 0100110	Oubgroup
2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates	100.0%	1,565,550	15.5% <u>+</u> 0.8%	243,100	100.0%
Local Health District <sup>5</sup> , Crude Rates					
Bear River	6.0%	93,555	16.1% <u>+</u> 2.3%	15,100	6.2%
Central	2.8%	44,411	17.1% <u>+</u> 3.0%	7,600	3.1%
Davis	10.3%	160,801	14.7% <u>+</u> 2.7%	23,700	9.7%
Salt Lake	40.9%	640,654	16.2% <u>+</u> 1.6%	103,800	42.7%
Southeastern	2.3%	35,968	16.4% <u>+</u> 2.7%	5,900	2.4%
Southwest	6.5%	101,940	14.6% <u>+</u> 2.7%	14,900	6.1%
Summit	1.4%	22,186	12.9% <u>+</u> 2.4%	2,900	1.2%
Tooele	1.9%	29,436	13.3% <u>+</u> 2.2%	3,900	1.6%
TriCounty	1.8%	27,434	16.1% <u>+</u> 2.4%	4,400	1.8%
Utah County	16.3%	254,723	15.6% <u>+</u> 2.1%	39,700	16.3%
Wasatch	0.7%	10,662	17.6% <u>+</u> 2.8%	1,900	0.8%
Weber-Morgan	9.2%	143,780	13.4% <u>+</u> 2.7%	19,300	7.9%
Total, All Utahns, Age 18+, Crude Rates	100.0%	1,565,550	15.5% <u>+</u> 0.8%	243,100	100.0%
2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates	100.0%	1,565,550	14.6% <u>+</u> 0.8%		
Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates					
Bear River	6.0%	93,555	14.5% <u>+</u> 2.1%		
Central	2.8%	44,411	17.1% <u>+</u> 2.9%		
Davis	10.3%	160,801	13.8% <u>+</u> 2.6%		
Salt Lake	40.9%	640,654	15.2% <u>+</u> 1.5%		
Southeastern	2.3%	35,968	16.5% <u>+</u> 2.6%		
Southwest	6.5%	101,940	14.9% <u>+</u> 2.7%		
Summit	1.4%	22,186	12.4% <u>+</u> 2.4%		
Tooele	1.9%	29,436	12.8% <u>+</u> 2.2%		
TriCounty	1.8%	27,434	16.1% <u>+</u> 2.4%		
Utah County	16.3%	254,723	13.7% <u>+</u> 1.9%		
Wasatch	0.7%	10,662	16.9% <u>+</u> 2.7%		
Weber-Morgan	9.2%	143,780	13.0% <u>+</u> 2.6%		
Total, All Utahns, Age 18+, Age-adjusted	100.0%	1,565,550	14.6% <u>+</u> 0.8%		

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 11. High Cholesterol: Percentage of Adults Age 35 and Over
Who Had Been Diagnosed With High Blood Cholesterol

by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah Residents Age 35 and Over, 2001.

Survey Estimates of Persons With High Blood Population Size Cholesterol Percentage Distribution by Percentage of Percentage Number of Number of Demographic Persons<sup>2</sup> Persons<sup>3,4</sup> Demographic Subgroup Distribution Persons<sup>1</sup> Subgroup 2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates 100.0% 27.0% <u>+</u> 1.2% 100.0% 896,380 241,700 Local Health District<sup>5</sup>, Crude Rates Bear River 5.4% 12,700 5.3% 48,783 25.9% <u>+</u> 3.4% Central 3.2% 28,909 28.1% + 3.6% 8,100 3.4% 29.1% <u>+</u> 4.0% Davis 10.6% 95,408 27,700 11.5% Salt Lake 41.8% 374.650 26.2% + 2.1% 98,200 40.6% Southeastern 2.7% 24.444 26.2% + 3.4% 6.400 2.6% Southwest 7.2% 64,119 28.2% + 3.5% 18,100 7.5% Summit 1.7% 14,885 23.0% ± 3.2% 3,400 1.4% Tooele 1.9% 16,871 30.8% <u>+</u> 3.4% 5,200 2.2% TriCounty 2.0% 18,156 26.5% ± 3.3% 4,800 2.0% **Utah County** 12.9% 115,293 25.5% <u>+</u> 3.0% 29,400 12.2% 21.1% <u>+</u> 3.2% Wasatch 0.8% 0.6% 6,749 1,400 Weber-Morgan 29.7% <u>+</u> 4.1% 9.8% 88,113 26,200 10.8% Total, All Utahns Age 35+, Crude Rates 100.0% 896,380 27.0% + 1.2% 241,700 100.0% 2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates 100.0% 896,380 27.5% <u>+</u> 1.1% Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates Bear River 5.4% 48,783 26.0% + 3.3% Central 3.2% 28,909 27.5% <u>+</u> 3.4% Davis 10.6% 95,408 30.3% <u>+</u> 4.2% Salt Lake 41.8% 374,650 27.2% + 2.1% Southeastern 2.7% 24,444 26.4% + 3.3% Southwest 7.2% 26.0% ± 3.2% 64,119 Summit 1.7% 14,885 25.2% ± 3.5% Tooele 1.9% 16,871 31.3% + 3.5% TriCounty 2.0% 18,156 26.5% ± 3.2% 26.0% ± 2.9% **Utah County** 12.9% 115.293 Wasatch 0.8% 6,749 22.3% + 3.2% Weber-Morgan 9.8% 88,113 29.0% + 3.9% Total, All Utahns Age 35+, 896.380 27.5% + 1.1% 100.0% Age-adjusted

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 12. Arthritis: Percentage of Persons
Who Had Ever Been Diagnosed With Arthritis
by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah Residents Age 18 and Over, 2001.

Survey Estimates of Persons Who Had Ever Had Population Size Arthritis Percentage Distribution by Percentage of Percentage Number of Number of Demographic Persons<sup>2</sup> Persons<sup>3,4</sup> Demographic Subgroup Distribution Persons<sup>1</sup> Subgroup 2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates 16.9% <u>+</u> 0.8% 265,000 100.0% 100.0% 1,565,550 Local Health District<sup>5</sup>, Crude Rates Bear River 6.0% 16.1% <u>+</u> 2.2% 15,100 5.7% 93,555 Central 2.8% 44,411 20.6% + 2.8% 9,100 3.4% Davis 10.3% 160,801 16.0% <u>+</u> 2.5% 25,800 9.7% 16.5% <u>+</u> 1.4% Salt Lake 40.9% 640,654 105,900 40.0% 22.7% <u>+</u> 3.0% Southeastern 2.3% 35,968 8,200 3.1% 22.2% <u>+</u> 2.9% Southwest 6.5% 101,940 22,700 8.6% 12.2% <u>+</u> 2.1% Summit 1.4% 22,186 2,700 1.0% Tooele 1.9% 29,436 15.3% <u>+</u> 2.2% 4,500 1.7% TriCounty 1.8% 27,434 21.9% <u>+</u> 2.6% 6,000 2.3% 16.3% 254,723 12.9% <u>+</u> 1.7% 12.5% **Utah County** 33,000 16.9% <u>+</u> 2.7% Wasatch 0.7% 10,662 1,800 0.7% 9.2% 21.0% ± 3.2% 30,200 Weber-Morgan 143,780 11.4% Total, All Utahns, Age 18+, Crude Rates 16.9% <u>+</u> 0.8% 100.0% 1,565,550 265,000 100.0% 2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates 100.0% 1,565,550 20.1% <u>+</u> 0.8% Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates 6.0% Bear River 93,555 20.6% + 2.3% Central 2.8% 44,411 20.8% + 2.4% Davis 10.3% 160,801 19.8% + 2.8% Salt Lake 40.9% 640,654 19.8% <u>+</u> 1.5% Southeastern 2.3% 35,968 23.0% ± 2.6% 21.4% + 2.5% Southwest 6.5% 101,940 Summit 1.4% 22,186 15.1% + 2.2% 1.9% 29,436 19.0% + 2.4% Tooele 22.4% <u>+</u> 2.3% 1.8% TriCounty 27,434 16.3% 19.0% <u>+</u> 2.1% **Utah County** 254,723 19.2% + 2.5% Wasatch 0.7% 10,662 Weber-Morgan 9.2% 143,780 22.1% <u>+</u> 2.8% Total, All Utahns, Age 18+, 100.0% 1,565,550 20.1% ± 0.8% Age-adjusted

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 13. Asthma: Percentage of Persons Who Were Under Medical Care for Asthma by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah, 2001

Survey Estimates of Persons Who Were Under Medical Care for Asthma Population Size Percentage Distribution by Demographic Percentage Number of Percentage of Number of Persons<sup>2</sup> Persons<sup>3,4</sup> Distribution Persons<sup>1</sup> Demographic Subgroup Subgroup 2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates 100.0% 5.3% <u>+</u> 0.4% 120,900 100.0% 2,295,967 Local Health District<sup>5</sup>, Crude Rates Bear River 6.0% 138,600 6,400 5.3% 4.6% ± 1.1% Central 2.9% 5.1% <u>+</u> 1.3% 3,500 2.9% 67,207 Davis 10.7% 244,844 5.1% <u>+</u> 1.2% 12,500 10.3% Salt Lake 40.0% 5.9% ± 0.8% 54,500 45.1% 918,279 Southeastern 2.3% 5.6% ± 1.4% 3,000 2.5% 52,817 Southwest 6.4% 147,370 5.2% <u>+</u> 1.2% 7,600 6.3% Summit 1.4% 31,279 5.3% + 1.2%1,700 1.4% Tooele 1.9% 44.430 6.0% + 1.2% 2,700 2.2% TriCounty 1.8% 41.640 5.7% + 1.2% 2.400 2.0% **Utah County** 4.2% + 1.0% 16,300 16.8% 385,690 13.5% Wasatch 0.7% 0.7% 15,947 5.1% + 1.2% 800 Weber-Morgan 9.1% 9,500 7.9% 207,864 4.6% <u>+</u> 1.2% Total, All Utahns, Crude Rates 5.3% ± 0.4% 100.0% 100.0% 2,295,967 120,900 2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates 100.0% 2,295,967 5.5% ± 0.4% Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates Bear River 6.0% 138.600 4.9% + 1.2% Central 2.9% 67,207 5.2% + 1.3% Davis 10.7% 244,844 5.3% + 1.3% Salt Lake 40.0% 918,279 6.1% + 0.8% 5.5% + 1.4% Southeastern 2.3% 52,817 Southwest 6.4% 147.370 5.1% + 1.2% Summit 1.4% 31,279 5.2% + 1.2% Tooele 1.9% 44,430 6.3% ± 1.3% **TriCounty** 1.8% 41,640 5.6% + 1.2% **Utah County** 16.8% 385,690 4.5% + 1.1% Wasatch 0.7% 15,947 5.2% + 1.2% Weber-Morgan 9.1% 207,864 4.6% + 1.2% Total, All Utahns, Age-adjusted 100.0% 2,295,967 5.5% <u>+</u> 0.4%

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 14. Heart Disease: Percentage of Persons
Who Had Been Diagnosed With Heart Disease
by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah, 2001.

Survey Estimates of Persons Who Had Heart Population Size Disease Percentage Distribution by Percentage Number of Percentage of Number of Demographic Persons<sup>3,4</sup> Persons<sup>1</sup> Persons<sup>2</sup> Subgroup Demographic Subgroup Distribution 2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates 100.0% 2,295,967 3.3% + 0.3%75,700 100.0% Local Health District<sup>5</sup>, Crude Rates Bear River 6.0% 138,600  $2.7\% \pm 0.7\%$ 3,700 4.9% Central 2.9% 67,207 4.8% <u>+</u> 1.2% 3,200 4.2% 10.7% Davis 244,844  $2.3\% \pm 0.8\%$ 5,500 7.3% Salt Lake 40.0% 918,279  $3.5\% \pm 0.6\%$ 31,900 42.2% Southeastern 2.3% 52,817 3.7% <u>+</u> 1.1% 2,000 2.6% 4.6% <u>+</u> 1.2% 6,800 Southwest 6.4% 147.370 9.0%  $2.3\% \pm 0.8\%$ Summit 1.4% 31,279 700 0.9% Tooele 1.9% 44,430 2.9% <u>+</u> 0.8% 1,300 1.7% TriCounty 1.8% 41,640 4.1% ± 1.0% 1,700 2.2% 2.4% <u>+</u> 0.6% **Utah County** 16.8% 385,690 9,200 12.2% Wasatch 0.7% 15,947 3.1% + 0.9%500 0.7% Weber-Morgan 9.1% 207,864 4.4% <u>+</u> 1.3% 9,100 12.0% 100.0%  $3.3\% \pm 0.3\%$ Total, All Utahns, Crude Rates 2,295,967 75,700 100.0% 2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates 100.0% 2,295,967  $4.5\% \pm 0.4\%$ Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates Bear River 6.0% 138.600 3.9% + 1.0%Central 2.9% 67,207 5.5% <u>+</u> 1.2% 3.6% <u>+</u> 1.2% Davis 10.7% 244.844 4.7% <u>+</u> 0.7% Salt Lake 40.0% 918,279 Southeastern 2.3% 52.817 4.3% <u>+</u> 1.1% 6.4% 147,370 4.4% ± 1.0% Southwest 1.4% 3.5% <u>+</u> 1.4% Summit 31,279 4.6% + 1.2% Tooele 1.9% 44.430 TriCounty 1.8% 41,640 4.9% + 1.1%**Utah County** 16.8% 385.690 4.1% + 0.8% Wasatch 0.7% 15,947 4.1% + 1.1%

9.1%

100.0%

207.864

2,295,967

5.1% <u>+</u> 1.3% 4.5% <u>+</u> 0.4%

Total, All Utahns, Age-adjusted

Weber-Morgan

Note: Heart disease includes angina, congestive heart failure, and heart attack.

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 15. Diabetes: Percentage of Persons
Who Had Been Diagnosed With Diabetes
by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah, 2001.

	Populati	on Size	Survey Estimates of Persons Who Had Diabetes			
Demographic Subgroup	Percentage Distribution	Number of Persons <sup>1</sup>	Percentage of Persons <sup>2</sup>	Number of Persons <sup>3,4</sup>	Percentage Distribution by Demographic Subgroup	
2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates	100.0%	2,295,967	3.5% <u>+</u> 0.3%	79,800	100.0%	
Local Health District <sup>5</sup> , Crude Rates Bear River Central Davis Salt Lake Southeastern Southwest Summit Tooele TriCounty Utah County Wasatch Weber-Morgan Total, All Utahns, Crude Rates	6.0% 2.9% 10.7% 40.0% 2.3% 6.4% 1.4% 1.9% 16.8% 0.7% 9.1% 100.0%	138,600 67,207 244,844 918,279 52,817 147,370 31,279 44,430 41,640 385,690 15,947 207,864 2,295,967	$3.2\% \pm 0.8\%$ $4.3\% \pm 1.0\%$ $2.7\% \pm 0.8\%$ $3.7\% \pm 0.6\%$ $3.6\% \pm 1.0\%$ $4.4\% \pm 1.1\%$ $2.0\% \pm 0.7\%$ $3.7\% \pm 0.9\%$ $4.5\% \pm 1.0\%$ $2.8\% \pm 0.6\%$ $2.6\% \pm 0.8\%$ $3.9\% \pm 1.1\%$ $3.5\% \pm 0.3\%$	4,500 2,900 6,600 33,900 1,900 6,500 600 1,700 1,900 10,800 400 8,100 79,800	5.6% 3.6% 8.3% 42.5% 2.4% 8.1% 0.8% 2.1% 2.4% 13.5% 0.5% 10.2%	
2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates	100.0%	2,295,967	4.5% <u>+</u> 0.4%			
Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates Bear River Central Davis Salt Lake Southeastern Southwest Summit Tooele TriCounty Utah County Wasatch Weber-Morgan Total, All Utahns, Age-adjusted	6.0% 2.9% 10.7% 40.0% 2.3% 6.4% 1.4% 1.9% 16.8% 0.7% 9.1% 100.0%	138,600 67,207 244,844 918,279 52,817 147,370 31,279 44,430 41,640 385,690 15,947 207,864 2,295,967	$4.3\% \pm 1.0\%$ $4.9\% \pm 1.1\%$ $3.4\% \pm 1.1\%$ $4.7\% \pm 0.7\%$ $3.9\% \pm 1.0\%$ $4.3\% \pm 1.0\%$ $5.1\% \pm 1.2\%$ $5.3\% \pm 1.1\%$ $4.5\% \pm 1.0\%$ $3.3\% \pm 1.0\%$ $4.4\% \pm 1.2\%$ $4.5\% \pm 0.4\%$			

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

Note: Does not include gestational diabetes.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 16. Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: Percentage of Persons Who Were Under Medical Care for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah, 2001.

	Populati	ion Size	Survey Estimates of Persons Who Had COPD		
Demographic Subgroup	Percentage Distribution	Number of Persons <sup>1</sup>	Percentage of Persons <sup>2</sup>	Number of Persons <sup>3,4</sup>	Percentage Distribution by Demographic Subgroup
2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates	100.0%	2,295,967	1.1% <u>+</u> 0.2%	25,000	100.0%
Local Health District <sup>5</sup> , Crude Rates Bear River Central Davis Salt Lake Southeastern Southwest Summit Tooele TriCounty Utah County Wasatch Weber-Morgan Total, All Utahns, Crude Rates	6.0% 2.9% 10.7% 40.0% 2.3% 6.4% 1.4% 1.9% 16.8% 0.7% 9.1% 100.0%	138,600 67,207 244,844 918,279 52,817 147,370 31,279 44,430 41,640 385,690 15,947 207,864 2,295,967	1.1% ± 0.6% 1.9% ± 0.8% 0.6% ± 0.4% 1.0% ± 0.3% 1.6% ± 0.6% 2.0% ± 0.9% 0.6% ± 0.4% 1.4% ± 0.5% 1.4% ± 0.5% 1.0% ± 0.5% 1.1% ± 0.6% 1.1% ± 0.6%	1,500 1,300 1,500 9,600 900 2,900 200 600 600 3,300 200 2,300 25,000	6.0% 5.2% 6.0% 38.6% 3.6% 11.6% 0.8% 2.4% 2.4% 13.3% 0.8% 9.2% 100.0%
2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates	100.0%	2,295,967	1.4% <u>+</u> 0.2%		
Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates Bear River Central Davis Salt Lake Southeastern Southwest Summit Tooele TriCounty Utah County Wasatch Weber-Morgan Total, All Utahns, Age-adjusted	6.0% 2.9% 10.7% 40.0% 2.3% 6.4% 1.4% 1.9% 16.8% 0.7% 9.1% 100.0%	138,600 67,207 244,844 918,279 52,817 147,370 31,279 44,430 41,640 385,690 15,947 207,864 2,295,967	1.5% ± 0.7% 2.2% ± 0.8% 0.7% ± 0.5% 1.3% ± 0.4% 1.8% ± 0.7% 2.1% ± 0.9% 0.7% ± 0.5% 2.1% ± 0.8% 1.6% ± 0.6% 1.3% ± 0.6% 1.3% ± 0.7% 1.4% ± 0.7% 1.4% ± 0.2%		

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

Note: COPD includes emphysema and chronic bronchitis.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 17. Stroke: Percentage of Persons Who Had Been Diagnosed as Having Had a Stroke by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah, 2001

	Population Size		Survey Estimates of Persons Who Had a Stroke		
	Percentage	Number of	Percentage of	Number of	Percentage Distribution by Demographic
Demographic Subgroup	Distribution	Persons <sup>1</sup>	Persons <sup>2</sup>	Persons <sup>3,4</sup>	Subgroup
2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates	100.0%	2,295,967	1.3% <u>+</u> 0.2%	29,500	100.0%
Local Health District <sup>5</sup> , Crude Rates					
Bear River	6.0%	138,600	0.9% <u>+</u> 0.4%	1,300	4.4%
Central	2.9%	67,207	1.6% <u>+</u> 0.7%	1,100	3.7%
Davis	10.7%	244,844	0.9% <u>+</u> 0.5%	2,100	7.1%
Salt Lake	40.0%	918,279	1.5% <u>+</u> 0.4%	14,000	47.6%
Southeastern	2.3%	52,817	1.6% <u>+</u> 0.6%	800	2.7%
Southwest	6.4%	147,370	2.1% <u>+</u> 0.8%	3,100	10.5%
Summit	1.4%	31,279	0.9% <u>+</u> 0.5%	300	1.0%
Tooele	1.9%	44,430	1.6% <u>+</u> 0.6%	700	2.4%
TriCounty	1.8%	41,640	1.7% <u>+</u> 0.6%	700	2.4%
Utah County	16.8%	385,690	0.9% <u>+</u> 0.3%	3,300	11.2%
Wasatch	0.7%	15,947	0.6% <u>+</u> 0.4%	100	0.3%
Weber-Morgan	9.1%	207,864	0.9% <u>+</u> 0.5%	1,900	6.5%
Total, All Utahns, Crude Rates	100.0%	2,295,967	1.3% <u>+</u> 0.2%	29,500	100.0%
2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates	100.0%	2,295,967	1.8% <u>+</u> 0.2%		
Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates					
Bear River	6.0%	138,600	1.4% <u>+</u> 0.6%		
Central	2.9%	67,207	1.9% <u>+</u> 0.7%		
Davis	10.7%	244,844	1.3% ± 0.8%		
Salt Lake	40.0%	918,279	2.1% <u>+</u> 0.5%		
Southeastern	2.3%	52,817	1.7% <u>+</u> 0.7%		
Southwest	6.4%	147,370	2.0% <u>+</u> 0.7%		
Summit	1.4%	31,279	1.5% <u>+</u> 0.8%		
Tooele	1.9%	44,430	$2.4\% \pm 0.9\%$		
TriCounty	1.8%	41,640	2.2% <u>+</u> 0.7%		
Utah County	16.8%	385,690	1.5% <u>+</u> 0.5%		
Wasatch	0.7%	15,947	0.7% <u>+</u> 0.6%		
Weber-Morgan	9.1%	207,864	1.1% <u>+</u> 0.6%		
Total, All Utahns, Age-adjusted	100.0%	2,295,967	1.8% + 0.2%		

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 18. Exposure to Cigarette Smoke: Percentage of Children
Who Had Been Exposed to Cigarette Smoke Inside the Home
by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah Residents Age 17 and Under, 2001

	Population Size		Survey Estimates of Children Who Had Beel Exposed to Cigarette Smoke Inside the Hom		
Demographic Subgroup	Percentage Distribution	Number of Persons <sup>1</sup>	Percentage of Persons <sup>2</sup>	Number of Persons <sup>3,4</sup>	Percentage Distribution by Demographic Subgroup
2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates	100.0%	730,417	6.0% <u>+</u> 1.1%	43,500	100.0%
Local Health District <sup>5</sup> , Crude Rates  Bear River Central Davis Salt Lake Southeastern Southwest Summit Tooele TriCounty Utah County Wasatch Weber-Morgan Total, All Utahns Age 17 and Under, Crude Rates	6.2% 3.1% 11.5% 38.0% 2.3% 6.2% 1.2% 2.1% 1.9% 17.9% 0.7% 8.8% 100.0%	45,045 22,796 84,043 277,625 16,849 45,430 9,093 14,994 14,206 130,967 5,285 64,084 730,417	2.8% ± 2.2% 7.4% ± 3.6% 4.2% ± 2.8% 7.6% ± 2.3% 17.6% ± 5.8% 3.5% ± 2.2% 7.5% ± 4.2% 9.2% ± 3.4% 16.8% ± 4.8% *** ± *** 4.2% ± 2.6% 9.0% ± 4.6% 6.0% ± 1.1%	1,300 1,700 3,500 21,100 3,000 1,600 700 1,400 2,400 *** 200 5,700 43,500	3.0% 3.9% 8.0% 48.5% 6.9% 3.7% 1.6% 3.2% 5.5% *** 0.5% 13.1%
2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates Bear River	100.0% 6.2%	730,417 45,045	6.0% ± 1.1% 2.9% ± 2.2%		
Central Davis Salt Lake Southeastern Southwest Summit Tooele TriCounty Utah County Wasatch Weber-Morgan Total, All Utahns Age 17 and Under, Age-adjusted	3.1% 11.5% 38.0% 2.3% 6.2% 1.2% 2.1% 1.9% 17.9% 0.7% 8.8% 100.0%	22,796 84,043 277,625 16,849 45,430 9,093 14,994 14,206 130,967 5,285 64,084 730,417	7.3% ± 3.5% 4.2% ± 2.7% 7.7% ± 2.3% 17.4% ± 5.6% 3.6% ± 2.2% 7.5% ± 4.1% 10.0% ± 3.6% 17.0% ± 4.8% *** ± *** 4.3% ± 2.6% 8.9% ± 4.5% 6.0% ± 1.1%		

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Insufficient sample size for calculation of population estimates.

## Table 19a. Physical Activity: Percentage of Persons Who Reported Regular Moderate Exercise

by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah Residents Age 12 and Over, 2001.

Survey Estimates of Persons Who Reported Regular Population Size Moderate Exercise Percentage Distribution by Number of Percentage of Number of Demographic Percentage Persons<sup>3,4</sup> Persons<sup>2</sup> Demographic Subgroup Distribution Persons<sup>1</sup> Subgroup 2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates 100.0% 1,802,173 53.3% <u>+</u> 1.1% 960,900 100.0% Local Health District<sup>5</sup>, Crude Rates Bear River 6.0% 5.9% 108,350 52.0% ± 3.2% 56,300 3.3% Central 2.9% 52,725 60.8% <u>+</u> 3.3% 32,000 189,209 92,500 Davis 10.5% 48.9% <u>+</u> 3.5% 9.6% 40.5% Salt Lake 730,118 52.3% + 2.0% 381,700 39.7% Southeastern 2.3% 42,248 57.5% + 3.6% 24.300 2.5% 58.5% + 3.5% 68,400 Southwest 6.5% 116,927 7.1% 1.4% 25,494 57.2% + 3.6% 14,600 1.5% Summit Tooele 1.9% 33,844 52.8% <u>+</u> 3.1% 17,900 1.9% 60.8% <u>+</u> 3.1% TriCounty 1.8% 32,821 19,900 2.1% 54.7% <u>+</u> 2.9% **Utah County** 16.3% 293,245 160,500 16.7% 55.5% <u>+</u> 3.6% Wasatch 0.7% 12,504 6,900 0.7% 52.2% <u>+</u> 3.9% 85.900 8.9% Weber-Morgan 9.1% 164.688 Total, All Utahns Age 12+, Crude Rates 100.0% 1,802,173 53.3% <u>+</u> 1.1% 960,900 100.0% 2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates 52.4% <u>+</u> 1.1% 100.0% 1,802,173 Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates 51.9% <u>+</u> 3.3% Bear River 6.0% 108.350 Central 2.9% 52,725 60.3% + 3.3% Davis 10.5% 189,209 48.3% ± 3.6% 40.5% 730,118 51.1% + 2.0% Salt Lake Southeastern 2.3% 42,248 57.3% ± 3.5% Southwest 6.5% 116,927 58.3% <u>+</u> 3.5% 56.4% <u>+</u> 3.6% Summit 1.4% 25,494 52.1% <u>+</u> 3.1% Tooele 1.9% 33,844 TriCounty 1.8% 60.4% <u>+</u> 3.1% 32.821 **Utah County** 16.3% 293,245 53.4% <u>+</u> 2.9% Wasatch 0.7% 54.9% <u>+</u> 3.6% 12,504 Weber-Morgan 9.1% 164,688 51.2% <u>+</u> 3.8% Total, All Utahns Age 12+, 100.0% 52.4% + 1.1% 1,802,173

Age-adjusted

Note: Regular moderate exercise was defined as 'physical activities which were done 5 or more days per week for 30 minutes or more per occasion, such as brisk walking, bicycling, vacuuming, gardening, or anything else that causes small increases in breathing or heart rate.'

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 19b. Physical Activity: Percentage of Persons Who Reported Regular Vigorous Exercise

by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah Residents Age 12 and Over, 2001

Survey Estimates of Persons Who Reported Population Size Regular Vigorous Exercise Percentage Distribution by Percentage of Percentage Number of Number of Demographic Persons<sup>3,4</sup> Distribution Persons<sup>1</sup> Persons<sup>2</sup> Subgroup Demographic Subgroup 2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates 100.0% 1,802,173 39.9% + 1.1% 719,600 100.0% Local Health District<sup>5</sup>, Crude Rates Bear River 6.0% 108,350 39.4% ± 3.1% 5.9% 42,700 42.2% ± 3.5% Central 2.9% 52,725 22,300 3.1% Davis 10.5% 189,209 37.3% ± 3.3% 70,600 9.8% 39.1% <u>+</u> 1.9% Salt Lake 40.5% 730,118 285,200 39.6% 43.0% <u>+</u> 3.7% Southeastern 2.3% 42,248 18,200 2.5% 41.3% <u>+</u> 3.4% 6.7% Southwest 6.5% 116,927 48,300 25,494 51.3% <u>+</u> 3.5% Summit 1.4% 13,100 1.8% 39.7% <u>+</u> 2.9% Tooele 1.9% 33.844 13,400 1.9% TriCounty 1.8% 32.821 43.2% <u>+</u> 3.1% 14,200 2.0% **Utah County** 16.3% 293,245 42.7% <u>+</u> 2.7% 125,200 17.4% Wasatch 5,700 0.7% 12.504 45.4% <u>+</u> 3.5% 0.8% 37.0% <u>+</u> 3.6% Weber-Morgan 9.1% 164,688 61.000 8.5% 39.9% <u>+</u> 1.1% 719,600 Total, All Utahns Age 12+, Crude Rates 100.0% 1,802,173 100.0% 2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates 100.0% 1,802,173 37.9% <u>+</u> 1.0% Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates Bear River 6.0% 108,350 37.5% + 3.0% Central 2.9% 52,725 41.0% + 3.4% Davis 10.5% 189,209 35.2% + 3.2% Salt Lake 40.5% 730,118 37.1% + 1.8% 42.248 41.8% + 3.5% Southeastern 2.3% Southwest 6.5% 116,927 41.1% ± 3.3% Summit 1.4% 25,494 49.3% ± 3.5% Tooele 37.7% <u>+</u> 2.9% 1.9% 33,844 41.7% ± 3.1% TriCounty 1.8% 32,821 **Utah County** 38.6% ± 2.6% 16.3% 293,245 Wasatch 0.7% 12,504 44.0% ± 3.5% Weber-Morgan 9.1% 164,688 35.8% <u>+</u> 3.5% Total, All Utahns Age 12+, 100.0% 1,802,173 37.9% ± 1.0%

Age-adjusted

Note: Regular vigorous exercise was defined as 'physical activities which were done 3 or more days per week for 20 minutes or more per occasion, such as running, aerobics, heavy yard work, or anything else that causes large increases in breathing or heart rate.'

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 20. Obesity: Percentage of Adults Who Were Obese

by Local Health District, Crude and Age-Adjusted Rates, Utah Residents Age 18 and Over, 2001.

	Population Size		Survey Estimates of Persons Who Were Obese		
					Percentage
	_				Distribution by
	Percentage		Percentage of	Number of	Demographic
Demographic Subgroup	Distribution	Persons <sup>1</sup>	Persons <sup>2</sup>	Persons <sup>3,4</sup>	Subgroup
2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates	100.0%	1,565,550	15.2% <u>+</u> 0.8%	237,300	100.0%
Local Health District <sup>5</sup> , Crude Rates					
Bear River	6.0%	93,555	15.2% <u>+</u> 2.1%	14,200	6.0%
Central	2.8%	44,411	15.7% <u>+</u> 2.6%	7,000	2.9%
Davis	10.3%	160,801	15.5% <u>+</u> 2.6%	24,800	10.4%
Salt Lake	40.9%	640,654	14.8% <u>+</u> 1.4%	94,700	39.9%
Southeastern	2.3%	35,968	13.3% <u>+</u> 2.3%	4,800	2.0%
Southwest	6.5%	101,940	14.4% <u>+</u> 2.6%	14,700	6.2%
Summit	1.4%	22,186	8.7% <u>+</u> 2.0%	1,900	0.8%
Tooele	1.9%	29,436	19.2% <u>+</u> 2.5%	5,700	2.4%
TriCounty	1.8%	27,434	18.8% <u>+</u> 2.5%	5,100	2.1%
Utah County	16.3%	254,723	16.4% <u>+</u> 2.1%	41,700	17.6%
Wasatch	0.7%	10,662	11.7% <u>+</u> 2.1%	1,300	0.5%
Weber-Morgan	9.2%	143,780	15.0% <u>+</u> 2.6%	21,500	9.1%
Total, All Utahns, Age 18+, Crude Rates	100.0%	1,565,550	15.2% <u>+</u> 0.8%	237,300	100.0%
2001 Utah Population, Age-Adjusted Rates	100.0%	1,565,550	16.1% <u>+</u> 0.8%		
Local Health District, Age-Adjusted Rates					
Bear River	6.0%	93,555	16.8% <u>+</u> 2.3%		
Central	2.8%	44,411	15.7% <u>+</u> 2.5%		
Davis	10.3%	160,801	16.1% <u>+</u> 2.7%		
Salt Lake	40.9%	640,654	15.6% <u>+</u> 1.5%		
Southeastern	2.3%	35,968	13.3% + 2.3%		
Southwest	6.5%	101,940	15.2% <u>+</u> 2.6%		
Summit	1.4%	22,186	8.6% <u>+</u> 2.0%		
Tooele	1.9%	29,436	19.9% <u>+</u> 2.6%		
TriCounty	1.8%	27,434	18.8% <u>+</u> 2.5%		
Utah County	16.3%	254,723	18.9% <u>+</u> 2.4%		
Wasatch	0.7%	10,662	12.1% <u>+</u> 2.4%		
Weber-Morgan	9.2%	143,780	15.4% <u>+</u> 2.2%		
Total, All Utahns, Age 18+, Age-	100.0%	1,565,550	16.1% <u>+</u> 2.7%		
Adjusted	100.0%	1,303,330	10.1% ± 0.0%		
Aujustou					

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

Note: Obesity was defined as a BMI of ≥30 or more. BMI is calculated by dividing weight in kilograms by the square of height in meters. For example, a male or female who is 5'8" is considered obese if he or she weighs 197.5 or more pounds.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 21. Injury: Percentage of Persons Who Sustained One or More Injuries in the Previous 12 Months by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah, 2001

	Population	on Size	Survey Estimates of Persons Who Were Injured			
Demographic Subgroup	Percentage Distribution	Number of Persons <sup>1</sup>	Percentage of Persons <sup>2</sup>	Number of Persons <sup>3,4</sup>	Percentage Distribution by Demographic Subgroup	
2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates	100.0%	2,295,967	12.0% <u>+</u> 0.6%	275,800	100.0%	
Local Health District <sup>5</sup> , Crude Rates Bear River Central Davis Salt Lake Southeastern Southwest Summit Tooele TriCounty Utah County Wasatch Weber-Morgan Total, All Utahns, Crude Rates	6.0% 2.9% 10.7% 40.0% 2.3% 6.4% 1.4% 1.9% 16.8% 0.7% 9.1% 100.0%	138,600 67,207 244,844 918,279 52,817 147,370 31,279 44,430 41,640 385,690 15,947 207,864 2,295,967	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15,700 8,200 29,300 110,200 6,400 17,100 4,900 4,900 46,000 2,000 26,000 275,800	5.7% 3.0% 10.6% 40.0% 2.3% 6.2% 1.8% 1.8% 1.8% 16.7% 0.7% 9.4% 100.0%	
2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates	100.0%	2,295,967	11.9% <u>+</u> 0.6%			
Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates Bear River Central Davis Salt Lake Southeastern Southwest Summit Tooele TriCounty Utah County Wasatch Weber-Morgan Total, All Utahns, Age-adjusted	6.0% 2.9% 10.7% 40.0% 2.3% 6.4% 1.4% 1.9% 1.8% 16.8% 0.7% 9.1% 100.0%	138,600 67,207 244,844 918,279 52,817 147,370 31,279 44,430 41,640 385,690 15,947 207,864 2,295,967	11.4% ± 1.5% 12.1% ± 1.9% 11.7% ± 1.8% 12.1% ± 1.0% 12.0% ± 1.8% 11.4% ± 1.6% 15.7% ± 2.0% 11.4% ± 1.7% 11.7% ± 1.5% 11.4% ± 1.4% 13.0% ± 1.8% 12.4% ± 1.9% 11.9% ± 0.6%			

<sup>1</sup> Estimates based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget.

Note: An injury was defined as 'any accidental or intentional injury to a person during the last 12 months that limited their usual activities for a day or longer or caused them to require medical attention.'

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns do not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.

Table 22. Gun Storage: Percentage of Households That Had Loaded Guns Not Stored in a Locked Location

by Local Health District, Crude and Age-adjusted Rates, Utah, 2001.

		Distribution of buseholds	Survey Estimates of Utah Households With Unlocked, Loaded Guns			
Demographic Subgroup	Percentage Distribution	Number of Households <sup>1</sup>	Percentage of Households With Unlocked, Loaded Guns <sup>2</sup>	Number of Households <sup>3,4</sup>	Percentage Distribution of Households With Unlocked, Loaded Guns by Category <sup>4</sup>	
2001 Utah Population, Crude Rates	100.0%	724,652	1.5% <u>+</u> 0.3%	10,900	100.0%	
Local Health District <sup>5</sup> , Crude Rates						
Bear River	5.9%	42,682	1.7% <u>+</u> 1.0%	700		
Central	2.9%	21,015	1.8% <u>+</u> 1.2%	400		
Davis	10.2%	73,552	1.5% + 1.2%	1,100		
Salt Lake	42.1%	305,006	1.1% <u>+</u> 0.5%	3,500		
Southeastern	2.6%		4.4% + 1.9%	800		
Southwest	6.6%	-,	3.1% <u>+</u> 1.6%	1,500		
Summit	1.5%		2.3% <u>+</u> 1.4%	200		
Tooele	1.8%	13,116	1.7% + 1.0%	200		
TriCounty	1.9%		3.4% + 1.5%	500		
Utah County	14.3%		0.9% + 0.7%	900		
Wasatch	0.7%	4,928	1.5% + 1.2%	100		
Weber-Morgan	9.7%	•	1.5% + 1.2%	1,000		
Total, All Households	100.0%		1.5% <u>+</u> 0.3%	10,900		
2001 Utah Population, Age-adjusted Rates	100.0%	724,652	1.6% <u>+</u> 0.3%			
Local Health District, Age-adjusted Rates						
Bear River	5.9%	42,682	1.7% <u>+</u> 1.1%			
Central	2.9%	21,015	1.6% <u>+</u> 1.1%			
Davis	10.2%	73,552	1.7% <u>+</u> 1.4%			
Salt Lake	42.1%	305,006	1.2% + 0.6%			
Southeastern	2.6%	18,986	4.4% + 1.9%			
Southwest	6.6%	47,899	3.2% + 1.7%			
Summit	1.5%	10,652	2.4% <u>+</u> 1.5%			
Tooele	1.8%	13,116	1.7% <u>+</u> 1.0%			
TriCounty	1.9%	13,551	3.2% <u>+</u> 1.4%			
Utah County	14.3%	103,263	0.9% <u>+</u> 0.8%			
Wasatch	0.7%	4,928	1.6% <u>+</u> 1.2%			
Weber-Morgan	9.7%	70,001	1.5% <u>+</u> 1.2%			
Total, All Households, Age-adjusted	100.0%	724,652	1.6% <u>+</u> 0.3%			

<sup>1</sup> For the Local Health Districts, population estimates are based on Utah Process Economic and Demographic (UPED) model published in January 2002 by the Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget. For the Income and presence of children in the household, population estimates are based on the 2000 Utah Health Status Survey.

<sup>2</sup> Plus or minus 95% confidence interval.

<sup>3</sup> Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

<sup>4</sup> Figures in these columns may not sum to the total because of data weighting and missing values on the grouping variables.

<sup>5</sup> These rates have not been age-adjusted.